





Heavy Traffic Ahead -







Agenda

1	Throughput	2	Rising Acuity
3	Trends in ED Utilization	4	ED Stuck
5	Inpatient Utilization	6	System Capacity
7	Mobile Crisis Intervention Service	8	Alternative Services
9	Group Solutioning	10	Final Action Steps





Throughput



Throughput



Definition – Productivity of a

machine, procedure, process, or system over a unit period.

Throughput In Crisis

Care - time from inquiry through admission, discharge, follow-up, and eventual stabilization. A smooth even flow without preventable delays.

Systems vs. Service Analysis

System Level Analysis

- Problems with access, efficiency, being stuck in care, are primarily influenced by the inter-relationships between various services and levels of care.
- Problems are viewed as a SYSTEMS Issue

Program Level Analysis

- Problems with access, efficiency, being stuck in care, are influenced by the functioning of the particular level of care where the problem exists.
- Problems are viewed as a Program Issue



Inter-relationships between System Components



"High volume on 45 into downtown and traffic is building"



"Impact of Rising Acuity"



Trends in completed suicide as a proxy for acuity

RESEARCH LETTER

Trends in Emergency Department Visits for Nonfatal Self-inflicted Injuries Among Youth Aged 10 to 24 Years in the United States, 2001-2015

JAMA November 21, 2017 Volume 318, Number 19 Melissa C. Mercado, PhD, MSc, MA Kristin Holland, PhD, MPH Ruth W. Leemis, MPH Deborah M. Stone, ScD, MSW, MPH Jing Wang, MD, MPH

Death Rates Due to Suicide and Homicide Among Persons Aged 10–24: United States, 2000–2017

Sally C. Curtin, M.A., and Melonie Heron, Ph.D.

NCHS Data Brief
No. 352
October 2019

Suicidal Attempts and Ideation Among Children and Adolescents in US Emergency Departments, 2007-2015 Brett Burstein, MDCM, PhD, MPH

JAMA Pediatrics June 2019 Volume 173, Number 6

Brett Burstein, MDCM, PhD, MPH Holly Agostino, MDCM Brian Greenfield, MD

Hospitalization for Suicide Ideation or Attempt: 2008–2015

Gregory Plemmons, MD,^a Matthew Hall, PhD,^b Stephanie Doupnik, MD,^c James Gay, MD, MMHC,^a Charlotte Brown, MD,^a Whitney Browning, MD,^a Robert Casey, MD,^a Katherine Freundlich, MD,^a David P. Johnson, MD,^a Carrie Lind, MD,^a Kris Rehm, MD,^a Susan Thomas, MD,^a Derek Williams, MD, MPH^a



Summary of Suicide as a proxy for acuity

NATIONAL RATES

- Increase in suicides among youth affected males and females, but has been more noticeable among females.
- Emergency department visits for nonfatal, self-inflicted injury have increased 50% to 92% from early-mid 2000s to 2015.
- The proportion of use of emergency department for suicide ideation (SI) and suicide attempt (SA) nearly tripled (2.76-fold) from 2008 to 2015.

📕 Connecticut BHP 🛛 🕼 beacon

CONNECTICUT RATES

- CT Rates have trended lower than national rates.
- CT saw a doubling of youth suicide rates (10-14) but this is due to small numbers (went from 1 to 2).

CT Rates of Teen Suicide Deaths (DPH)



9







Behavioral Health (BH) Emergency Department (ED): Visits & Unique Visitors

ALL PROVIDERS | January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018

 Youth/Adult
 Primary Diagnosis
 ED Visit Date

 Youth
 All
 1/1/2018 12:00:00 AM to 12/31/2018 12:00:00 AM

 and Null values

-D-

10/18

ED Visit Frequency at ALL PROVIDERS

Connecticut BHP



(e) beacon

- The majority of youth that visit the ED with a BH diagnosis visit once
- The increase in rates of BH ED visits was;
 - 2016 to 2017 approximately 6%
 - 2017 to 2018 essentially flat (.5%)

Behavioral Health (BH) Emergency Department (ED): 7-Day Readmission

ALL PROVIDERS | January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018



Behavioral Health (BH) Emergency Department (ED): 7-Day C2C

ALL PROVIDERS | January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018



Behavioral Health (BH) Emergency Department (ED): Inpatient Admissions

ALL PROVIDERS | January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018







Connecticut BHP Seacon

ED Stuck 2018 and 2019 YTD



- ED Stuck determined by outreach to each ED and count of those youth in care more than 8 hours after medical clearance
- In 2018, ED Stuck saw a sharp increase beginning in September that continued through the end of the year
- In 2019, ED stuck remained high through May and then declined but did not return to the lower (50-60) range observed in the summer of 2018



Emergency Department (ED) "Stuck" Analysis

Monthly reporting on members identified as being in the ED for 8 hours or more



Connecticut BHP | 🕞 beacon



YTD ED Stuck All Length of Stay Distribution - All Not unique members; Excluding CARES

- Year to date, ED stuck continues to show a predictable seasonal pattern of lower volume in the summer
- ALOS of ED stuck has been trending down since a peak in February

Emergency Department (ED) "Stuck" Analysis

Monthly reporting on members identified as being in the ED for 8 hours or more

2019 YTD



ED Stuck All YTD ALOS

DCF	Non-DCF	Grand Total
3.3	2.9	3.0



 Although ED stuck ALOS has been trending down over the year, there are significant numbers of youth staying over 3 days.

Most youth stuck in the ED are non-DCF although DCF youth are disproportionately over-represented







Inpatient Dashboard - Medicaid Youth (All)

PAR Provider: All

Showing 2019, Q1, 2019, Q2, 2019, Q3 and 1 more



A Beacon Health Options-CT Dashboard

Data Last Updated: 10/22/2019

H



Length of Stay Frequency Distribution

4.0%	31.2%	28.9%	15.6%	5.7%	14.7%

Major Depress. Dx	Bipolar, Stress, Other	All Other Dxs	Schizophrenia , Psychotic D	Autism Dx
45.4%	40.4%	9.1%	4.2%	0.9%



- 2019 Data is through Quarter 3, 2019
- ALOS for discharged youth trended up slightly in 2017 and 2018 but showed an upturn of roughly 1 day in quarter 3 of 2018 and 2019.
- Higher ALOS typically translates into reduced bed availability
- The modal ALOS is 4-7 days, followed by 8-11 days. Outliers and those on delay skew the ALOS to its current rate of 14.3.
- Major Depression is the most common diagnosis for inpatient admissions

- Four Winds, Natchaug, and St Vincent's, have seen a decrease in the 2019 ALOS rate compared to the average for the three years
- Hartford Hospital, St Francis, and Yale NHH have seen an increase compared to the average for the three years.



2017 to 2019

2019

Discharge Delay

Reduced Discharge Delay

When a child is ready to leave a psychiatric hospital, but a needed service is not immediately available, the child's discharge is delayed.

Beacon, DCF and DSS staff, and providers work together to identify available services while removing barriers to accessing treatment. As a result, the time children wait unnecessarily in hospitals has been greatly reduced as seen below.

2008 - 25.63%

Year-to-date - 7.22%



Total Discharge Delay Days

CY 2008 to YTD 2019



- **13 Years of Success** Beacon has met the performance target in partnership with providers and state partners, defined by the percentage of discharge delay days, every year for the last 13 years
- This has resulted in increased access and less days for youth in restrictive settings



"Lane closures are contributing to congestion"

sham St

System Capacity

Charter Oak Ave



Inpatient and PRTF Capacity

- System Capacity for Inpatient and PRTF has declined
 - 2018 Waterbury Hospital transitioned their 6 bed IP adolescent unit to adults
 - 1st Quarter of 2018 acuity issues led to temporary reduction in capacity of 4 beds at Solnit South PRTF
 - 2018 Boys and girls village closed their 16 Bed PRTF Program
 - In 2019 there have been temporary reductions in inpatient capacity related to staffing and milieu acuity & dynamics
 - 2019 Solnit capacity for PRTF and IP declined temporarily over the last year to accommodate physical plant upgrades
- System capacity for PRTF expanded in 2019 at The Village for Families and Children (8 additional Beds) and an additional 10 beds at CCOH are anticipated in 2020.
- Solnit inpatient and PRTF are now back at full capacity

Despite some new beds, system capacity remains at a net loss



Programmatic capacity for acute and subacute care declined 13.3% in 2018

Further program capacity reductions occurred in 2019





"Use of alternative routes are recommended to reduce congestion" Charter Oak Ave

Mobile Crisis Intervention Service





MOBILE CRISIS INTERVENTION SERVICES

Presentation to the Behavioral Health Partnership Oversight Council Child/Adolescent Quality, Access & Policy Committee

November 20, 2019



Mobile Crisis Intervention Services

State-wide, community based and family supportive clinical intervention service for children & adolescents (0-17
 18 if still enrolled in school) experiencing a behavioral health crisis or non-crisis behavioral health need.

Provides rapid emergency crisis stabilization for children and their families as well as short-term (up to 45 days) follow-up care and connection to other services

Licensed or license eligible Clinical Psychologists, Clinical Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, Professional Counselors, and Alcohol and Drug Counselors

Three primary components of the service:

- 1. Statewide Call Center
- 2. Provider Network
- 3. Performance Improvement Center

Connecticut's Mobile Crisis service does not have pre-determined criteria for what qualifies as a crisis. A crisis is defined by the <u>caller</u> (child, family, school, other), not by 211 or the Mobile Crisis provider.

Mobile Crisis: Mobile Provider Network



Mobile Crisis Available Services



- Mobile response to homes, schools, EDs, community locations
- Crisis stabilization
- Diversion from the ED, inpatient, and other deep-end settings
- Screening and assessment using standardized instruments
- Follow-up services for up to 45 days (unlimited episodes of care)
- Access to psychiatric evaluation and medication management
- Collaboration with families, EDs, schools, police, other providers
- Referral and linkage to ongoing care as needed

Episode Volume Over Time (FY11 – FY19)



Statewide Benchmarks Over Time

100%

Goal: 90% of Responses are Mobile 100% 92.5% 91.9% 91.7% 92.4% 92.5% 93.0% 91.9% 93.1% 90.3% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50.0% 50% Baseli... ETNS ETNA ETNS ETNO ETNS ETNS ETN2 Etn F129

Mobility

Response Time Goal: 80% of Responses are Within 45 Minutes



Statewide Outcomes Over Time

Improvement in Problem Severity as Measured by the Ohio Scales



■ % Partial Improvement ■ % Reliable Improvement ■ % Clinically Meaningful Change

Improvement in Functioning as Measured by the Ohio Scales



■ % Partial Improvement ■ % Reliable Improvement ■ % Clinically Meaningful Change

Children Served in FY19



Other Hispanic/Latino Origin

Children Served in FY19 by DCF Status

Client DCF Status at Intake and Discharge Statewide



Client History FY19

Client History Prior to or During Episode of Care



Children Served in FY19

Type of Health Insurance at Intake

Primary Presenting Problem at Intake


Referrals to Services at Discharge FY19

0.0% 10.0% 20.0% 30.0% 40.0% 50.0% 60.0% **Outpatient Services (6627)** 44.4% None** (3948) 26.5% Intensive Outpatient Services (1428) 9.6% Other: Community-Based (837) 5.6% Inpatient Hospital Care (555) 3.7% Intensive In-Home Services (387) 2.6% Partial Hospital Program (520) 3.5% Extended Day Program (221) 1.5% Care Coordination (181) 1.2% Other: Out-of-Home (100) ■ 0.7% Group Home (32) 0.2% Residential Treatment (84) 0.6%

Type of Services Clients Referred to at Discharge

**Includes referrals back to client's existing provider/services.

Referral Sources FY19 and Over Time



Top Referral Sources Over Time



Emergency Department Referrals

ED Referrals Over Time

Type of ED Referral by Region FY19



ED Workgroup Report: Background

- Board members of the Children's Fund of Connecticut (CFC) & Child Health and Development Institute (CHDI) identified BHED as a priority
 - Board includes representatives from the state's two largest children's hospitals
 - Funded in the CFC FY2018 budget
 - Not legislatively mandated or funded by a state agency
- Beacon Health Options collaborated on data gathering, analysis, reporting and co-authored final report
- Collaborative and family-informed process was critical. A family champion and a parent with lived experience were paid consultants to the workgroup
 - Additional workgroup representatives included researchers and academics, community and hospital-based providers, legislators and agency representatives, school district staff, and more than 20 additional family members

Emergency Department Use by Connecticut Children and Youth with Behavioral Health Conditions:



ED Workgroup Report

Key Findings:

This is a <u>national</u> phenomenon, not just Connecticut, and a <u>systems</u> issue, not just an ED issue

Most youth visited the ED only once or twice. Very few were high utilizers

- Vast majority of youth with BHED visits are not admitted to inpatient unit
- Few youth receive significant BH interventions while in an ED and 35% did not have a follow up BH visit in the community within 30 days of an ED visit
- Opportunities for cost savings if BHED visits (and overstays) can be reduced

Key Recommendations:

- Improve diversion and timely discharge from EDs by increasing collaboration and training among Mobile Crisis programs, EDs, and the schools
- Implement a quality improvement initiative focused on the delivery of behavioral health services within high volume ED settings serving children, youth, and families

Return on Investment

Mobile Crisis Averting from Inpatient Hospitalization

- Total Cost of CT Mobile (FY18) = \$14.126 M Average cost per Episode of Care = \$978
- Cost of Alternative (Inpatient Hospitalization)
 --Medicaid avg. per inpatient Episode of Care = \$12,150

Averted Hospitalizations

--666 inpatient diversions in FY18, 483 for youth enrolled in Medicaid

Averted Costs to Medicaid = <u>\$5,396,076</u>

--That represents 38% of <u>total</u> Mobile Crisis program costs and 4.3X Medicaid FFS expenditures

Accounts for averted costs for Medicaid only, with additional costs averted for <u>commercial</u> payers



Return on Investment

Mobile Crisis and ED Diversion

- Mobile Crisis in CT is associated with a 25% reduction in ED utilization compared with initial ED users, over an 18-month timeframe
- Calculating Potential Return on Investments for diverting from EDs
- ED costs for youth showing up with primary BH concerns includes Medicaid and commercial claims, as well as the cost of uncompensated care

Impact of Mobile Crisis Services on Emergency Department Use Among Youths With Behavioral Health Service Needs

Michael Fendrich, Ph.D., Melissa Ives, M.S.W., Brenda Kurz, Ph.D., Jessica Becker, M.S.W., Jeffrey Vanderploeg, Ph.D., Christopher Bory, Psy.D., Hsiu-Ju Lin, Ph.D., Robert Plant, Ph.D.

Objective: Youths are using emergency departments (EDs) for behavioral health services in record numbers, even though EDs are suboptimal settings for service delivery. In this article, the authors evaluated a mobile crisis service intervention implemented in Connecticut with the aim of examining whether the intervention was associated with reduced behavioral health ED use among those in need of services.

Methods: The authors examined two cohorts of youths: 2,532 youths who used mobile crisis services and a comparison sample of 3,961 youths who used behavioral health ED services (but not mobile crisis services) during the same fiscal year. Propensity scores were created to balance the two groups, and outcome analyses were used to examine subsequent ED use (any behavioral health ED admissions) and number of behavioral health ED admissions) in an 18-month follow-up period.

Results: A pooled odds ratio of 0.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]=0.66–0.84) indicated that youths who received mobile crisis services had a significant reduction in odds of a sub-sequent behavioral health ED visit compared with youths in the comparison sample. The comparable result for the continuous outcome of number of behavioral health ED visits yielded an incidence risk ratio of 0.78 (95% CI= 0.71–0.87).

Conclusions: Using comparison groups, the authors provided evidence suggesting that community-based mobile crisis services, such as Mobile Crisis, reduce ED use among youths with behavioral health service needs. Replication in other years and locations is needed. Nevertheless, these results are quite promising in light of current trends in ED use.

Psychiatric Services in Advance (doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800450)







Current ED Interventions

• Daily ED Calls

- Daily Case Rounds with CCMC ED, DCF and Beacon
- ASD Intensive Response teams (CCMC and Yale EDs)
- Care Coordination and Family Peer Specialist Interventions
- Diversion efforts to CARES, MCS & SFIT
- Bed Tracking System Implemented in 2018
- Psychiatrist to Psychiatrist consultation available to both ED and IP Facilities
- MCS Program and expansions for DDS, facility liaisons and enhanced school outreach

Connecticut BHP Seacon



"Use of alternative routes are recommended to reduce congestion" Charter Oak Ave on Alternative **Services**



Other Possible Strategies/Alternatives

ED Interventions

- Tx of Agitation
- Reduced R&S
- Initiation of active treatment
- Obs. Units
- Tx of SUD intoxication/withdrawal
- Early Disposition Planning
- More BH Staff

IP Interventions

- Early Disposition Planning
- Medication Adjustments
- Family Work
- Early engagement of community providers
- Network analysis and intervention PRTF Interventions
- Early Disposition Planning
- Family Work
- Staff and family training in Behavioral Management

System Interventions

- Expand
 - Crisis Stabilization Beds
 - Brief/ Intermediate Units
 - In-Home Services
 - Inpatient Capacity
 - PRTF Capacity
 - SBDI
- New Approaches
 - BH Urgent Care
 - Crisis Now referral with GPS
 Tracking
 - School-based clinic crisis services



"A new plan to ease congestion has been developed"



Group Solutioning





"A new Plan to ease congestion has been drafted"

St

or

Final Recommendations

Charter Oak Ave







Questions and Discussion

Bert Plant, Ph.D. – Robert.plant@beaconhealthoptions.com	Erika Sharillo, LCSW – Erika.Sharillo@beaconhelathoptions.com
Sandrine Pirard, MD – Sandrine.Pirard@beaconhealthoptions.com	Carrie Bourdon, LCSW – Carol.Bourdon@beaconhealthoptions.com
Jeffrey Vanderploeg, Ph.D. – Jvanderploeg@uchc.edu	Kellie Randall, Ph.D. – randall@uchc.edu
Tim Marshall, LCSW – tim.marshall@ct.gov	Aleece Kelly – akelly@uchc.edu





Thank you!